1 KEVIN V. RYAN (CSBN 118321) FILED United States Attorney 2 FEB 0 9 2007 MARK L. KROTOSKI (CSBN 138549) 3 Chief, Criminal Division 4 JULIE A. ARBUCKLE (CSBN 193425) Assistant United States Attorney 5 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102 6 Telephone: (415) 436-7102 7 Facsimile: (415) 436-7234 8 Attorneys for the United States of America 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 11 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 12 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No. 3 07 70011 JL 13 Plaintiff, STIPULATION AND [PRO 14 ORDER EXCLUDING TIME v. 15 VERNON WHITE, 16 Defendant. 17 18 19 20 On February 6, 2007, the parties in this case appeared before the Court and stipulated that 21 time should be excluded from the calculations under the Speedy Trial Act, Federal Rule of 22 Criminal Procedure 5.1, and 18 U.S.C. §3142(f)(2)(B) from February 6, 2007 to February 20, 2007 for effective preparation of counsel, in that defense counsel required additional time to 23 24 obtain and review information relevant to the government's motion for detention of defendant. 25 The parties represented that there is good cause for granting the continuance, and that it was the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation of defense counsel, taking into account the 26 27 exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv). The parties also agreed that the 28 ends of justice served by granting such a continuance outweighed the best interests of the public

1	and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A).
2	SO STIPULATED:
3	
4	KEVIN V. RYAN United States Attorney
5	Omica States 7 Money
6	DATED: February 6, 2007  /s/  JULIE A. ARBUCKLE
7	Assistant United States Attorney
8	DATED: February 7, 2007 /s/
9	STEVEN KALAR Attorncy for Defendant Vernon White
10	
11	
12	As the Court found on February 6, 2007, and for the reasons stated above, the Court finds
13	that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the
14	defendant in a speedy trial and that time should be excluded from the calculations under the
15	Speedy Trial Act, Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1, and 18 U.S.C. §3142(f)(2)(B) from
16	Febraury 6, 2007 to February 20, 2007 for good cause and the effective preparation of defense
17	counsel. See 18 U.S.C. §3161 (h)(8)(A). The failure to grant the requested continuance would
18	deny counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise
19	of due diligence, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. See 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(iv).
20	
21	SO ORDERED.
22	$\gamma_{-}a_{0}\gamma_{-}$
23	DATER: Maria-Elena James
24	United States Magistrate Judge
25	<b>∤</b> ∕
26	
27	